UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,437	03/26/2004	Hongyu Yue	250638US6 YA	8105
	7590 07/09/200 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	FREET	ANYA, IGWE U		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2891		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/809,437		YUE ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			IGWE U. ANYA		2891				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICH - Extension after SI - If NO point - Failure I Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months. patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will a will, by statute, care	TE OF THIS CC (a). In no event, howe apply and will expire sause the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1)☑ ₽	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 Mai	rch 2004						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		nction is non-fina	al					
′=		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	·	ioo arraor Ex	parte dadyre,	000 0.5. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
·	n of Claims								
•	laim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the a								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□ C	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restricti	ion and/or ele	ection requireme	ent.					
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ Tł	ne specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
•	-			b)□ objected to	by the Examine	r.			
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
·	-	o by the Exa	minor. Note the	attached Office	Action of Ionn's	10 102.			
<u>-</u>	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of 3) Informa	of of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Io(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 12, drawn to a Process, classified in class 438, subclass 014.
- II. Claims 13 18, drawn to an Apparatus, classified in class 702, subclass182.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used for Multivariate statistical model-based system for monitoring the operation of a continuous caster and detecting the onset of impending breakouts (example monitoring the quality of food products).
- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

Art Unit: 2891

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. A telephone call was made to Edwin P. Garlepp on May 23, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process

Art Unit: 2891

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IGWE U. ANYA whose telephone number is (571)272-1887. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William B. Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Igwe U. Anya/ Examiner, Art Unit 2891

June 29, 2008

/BRADLEY W BAUMEISTER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2891